

## **fMRI Gets *BOLD*er at High Fields**

***Xiaoping Hu, Ph.D.***

The Wallace H. Coulter Department of Biomedical Engineering

Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University

Atlanta, GA 30322

The majority of fMRI experiments are conducted based on the blood oxygenation level dependent (BOLD) contrast [1-3], which is derived from the fact that deoxyhemoglobin is paramagnetic while oxyhemoglobin, similar to tissue, is diamagnetic, and changes in the local concentration of deoxyhemoglobin in the brain lead to alterations in the MRI image intensity. It is generally accepted that neuronal activation induces an increase in regional blood flow without a commensurate increase in the regional oxygen consumption rate (CMRO<sub>2</sub>) [4-6]. Consequently, local capillary and venous deoxyhemoglobin concentrations decrease during neuronal activation, leading to an increase in T<sub>2</sub>\* and T<sub>2</sub>. This increase is reflected as an elevation of intensity in T<sub>2</sub>\*- and T<sub>2</sub>-weighted MR images. Based on this principle, to map neuronal function, T<sub>2</sub>\* (or T<sub>2</sub>) – weighted images are acquired consecutively while the subject either rests or performs certain task or is presented with certain stimuli. These images are subsequently analyzed with statistical methods to ascertain regions exhibiting significant signal changes between the task performance/stimulation and resting periods.

For fMRI based on the BOLD contrast, high magnetic fields are very desirable because, as discussed below, both the sensitivity and specificity increase with the magnetic field. In fact, the desire to improve the sensitivity and specificity of fMRI has been a major force driving the move towards higher and higher magnetic fields for *in vivo* MR. It is generally accepted that the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) in MR images scales linearly with the field strength [7] and this view is experimentally demonstrated to the field strength as high as 7 T although the B1 field and hence the coil sensitivity become somewhat nonuniform at very high magnetic fields [8].

In addition to the increase in SNR, theoretical considerations indicate that the BOLD contrast increases supralinearly with the field strength, depending on contributions from static and dynamic averaging [9]. The effect of the BOLD field inhomogeneity on the MR signal has been discussed extensively by others [9, 10] and is briefly summarized here. In the brain, hemoglobin stays within blood vessels and its effect on water protons depend on the location of the protons relative to the blood vessel. For the extravascular (i.e., tissue) spins, there is the dynamic averaging effect, which arises due to diffusion during the echo time (TE). Because the diffusion distance within typical TE is rather small, dynamic averaging is prominent mainly for small blood vessels, e.g. capillaries, which are separated on the average by 50 μm [10]. Dynamic averaging leads to a change in T<sub>2</sub> [9], thereby the BOLD contrast in

both spin-echo and gradient-echo images. For large blood vessels, the effect of dynamic averaging for extravascular spins is negligible because diffusion distance is negligible compared with the size of these large vessels and *static averaging* is the main mechanism for the BOLD effect. Static averaging comes into play if refocusing pulses are not used or asymmetric spin echoes are acquired. The aforementioned picture of the extravascular BOLD effect was supported with numerical calculations [10, 11], which also provided quantitative assessment of the BOLD induced  $R_2^*/R_2$  changes and its dependence on the vessel size. Dynamic averaging was found to be dominant for small vessels (diameter  $< 8 \mu\text{m}$  at  $\sim 4\text{T}$ ), and static averaging for large vessels (diameter  $> 10\mu\text{m}$  at  $\sim 4\text{T}$ ). While this vessel size dependence is also a function of the field strength, these calculations suggest that large vessel effects mostly arise from static averaging and small vessel contributions are dominated by dynamic averaging. Furthermore, it is useful to note that the dynamic averaging effects, mediated by diffusion, depends on the field inhomogeneity, hence the static magnetic field, quadratically while the static averaging effect depends on the static magnetic field linearly[9].

Because both the raw SNR and the BOLD contrast increase with the field strength, the sensitivity of fMRI goes up with the field strength more than quadratically, despite a shortening in transverse relaxation times ( $T_2$  and  $T_2^*$ ) at high fields. This increase in sensitivity has been experimentally demonstrated at fields up to 7 T in humans [12, 13] and 9.4 T in animals[14]. When noise is taken into account, a detailed study examining the BOLD response in the motor area [15] revealed that the contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR) at 3.0 T is 1.8-2.2 times that at 1.5 T.

The increase in the sensitivity at high fields has been exploited to improve spatial resolution or temporal resolution or both [16, 17]. Studies have used 4 T magnets to elucidate the columnar organization in the visual cortex in human subjects [18, 19]. More interestingly, a recent study of the rat somatosensory cortex performed at 11.7 T [20] has revealed the ability of high field fMRI in providing a map of layer specific structure. The high sensitivity of high field fMRI has also been employed to study the temporal characteristics of the BOLD response. At 4 T, fMRI was used in one of first studies of event-related fMRI, exhibiting fMRI's ability to differentiate brain regions based their responses' temporal characteristics [21]. In a true *single* trial experiment at 4 T [22], the ability of fMRI to detect temporal information in individual trials, permitting a direct correlation with corresponding behavioral response, was demonstrated. Another interesting aspect of high-field fMRI is the detection of the initial dip [23-27], which is believed to arise from an initial increase in deoxyhemoglobin concentration before the hemodynamic response takes place and to be more specific to the site of neuronal activation than the hyperemic BOLD response. This response was also shown to increase with the magnetic field strength [27].

In addition to the increase in sensitivity, high magnetic fields also provide high specificity for fMRI. As pointed above, large vessel contributions scale linearly with the field while small vessel contributions scale quadratically with the field strength. Consequently, microvascular contributions become more pronounced at high fields due to its quadratic dependence on  $B_0$ . This improves the spatial specificity of BOLD based fMRI, because capillary contributions are closer to the site of neuronal activation while large vessels are not uniformly distributed and may be spatially distant ( $\sim 10$  mm) from the activation. While such an increase in specificity is demonstrated in  $T_2^*$ -weighted fMRI data [12, 13], this increase in sensitivity becomes more dramatic in  $T_2$ -weighted fMRI images as discussed below.

In a  $T_2$  based BOLD fMRI map, the signal changes come from 1) intravascular blood  $T_2$  changes, from both large and small blood vessels, and 2) extravascular effect associated *only with* microvessels (capillaries and small post-capillary venules). Therefore, in  $T_2$ -weighted fMRI, only possible large vessel contribution comes from intravascular blood signal. Fortuitously, at very high fields,  $T_2$  of the venous blood is very short [18-19], significantly attenuating the intravascular signal and hence the intravascular BOLD response. Thus, at high fields,  $T_2$  based fMRI is mostly sensitive to microvascular contributions and more specific. In addition, the quadratic increase in the sensitivity of  $T_2$  BOLD contrast makes it possible to perform  $T_2$  based fMRI. In a recent study performed on human subjects at 7 T, activation patterns were found to localize to the gray matter [28]. In addition, the BOLD contrast was found to increase significantly with spatial resolution, suggesting that the partial voluming effect is significant. This point has been demonstrated by several studies at high fields ranging from 4 to 9.4 Tesla [14, 29]. A more quantitative study has also examined the point spread function of the  $T_2$  vs.  $T_2^*$  response and revealed significantly narrower response for the  $T_2$  BOLD maps [30].

In summary, theoretical considerations and experimental data obtained so far at fields as high as 11.7 Tesla indicate that high magnetic fields provide an increase in both sensitivity and specificity. Such an increase is being exploited to improve spatial and/or temporal resolution in fMRI. In addition, this increase has also fueled the rapid expansion of high field MR.

### References

1. Ogawa, S. and T.-M. Lee, *Magnetic resonance imaging of blood vessels at high fields: In vivo and in vitro measurements and image simulation*. Magn Reson Med, 1990. **16**: p. 9-18.
2. Ogawa, S., T.M. Lee, and B. Barrere, *The sensitivity of magnetic resonance image signals of a rat brain to changes in the cerebral venous blood oxygenation*. Magn Reson Med, 1993. **29**: p. 205-210.
3. Ogawa, S., et al., *Oxygenation-sensitive contrast in magnetic resonance image of rodent brain at high magnetic fields*. Magn Reson Med, 1990. **14**: p. 68-78.
4. Fox, P.T. and M.E. Raichle, *Stimulus rate dependence of regional blood flow in human striate cortex, demonstrated by positron emission tomography*. J Neurophysiol, 1984. **51**: p. 1109-1121.

5. Fox, P.T. and M.E. Raichle, *Focal physiological uncoupling of cerebral blood flow and oxidative metabolism during somatosensory stimulation in human subjects*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 1986. **83**: p. 1140-1144.
6. Fox, P.T., et al., *Nonoxidative Glucose Consumption During Focal Physiologic Neural Activity*. Science, 1988. **241**: p. 462-464.
7. Hoult, D.I., C.-N. Chen, and V.J. Sank, *The field dependence of NMR imaging: II. arguments concerning an optimal field strength*. Magn Reson Med, 1986. **3**: p. 722-729.
8. Vaughan, J.T., et al., *7T vs 4T: RF power, homogeneity, & signal-to-noise comparison in head images*. Magn Reson Med, 2001. **46**: p. 24-30.
9. Ugurbil, K., et al., *Imaging brain activity using nuclear spins*, in *Magnetic Resonance and Brain Function: Approaches from Physics*, B. Maraviglia, Editor. 1999, Italian Physical Society Press: Amsterdam. p. 261-310.
10. Ogawa, S., et al., *Functional brain mapping by blood oxygenation level-dependent contrast magnetic resonance imaging*. Biophys J, 1993. **64**: p. 800-812.
11. Boxerman, J.L., et al., *The Intravascular contribution to fMRI signal changes: Monte Carlo modeling and diffusion-weighted studies in vivo*. Magn Reson Med, 1995. **34**(1): p. 4-10.
12. Gati, J.S., et al., *Experimental determination of the BOLD field strength dependence in vessels and tissue*. Magn Reson Med, 1997. **38**: p. 296-302.
13. Yacoub, Y., et al., *Imaging brain function in humans at 7 Tesla*. Magn Reson Med, 2001. **45**: p. 588-594.
14. Lee, S.P., et al., *Diffusion-weighted spin-echo fMRI at 9.4 T: microvascular/tissue contribution to BOLD signal changes*. Magn Reson Med, 1999. **42**: p. 919-928.
15. Kruger, G. and G.H. Glover, *Physiological noise in oxygenation-sensitive magnetic resonance imaging*. Magn Reson Med, 2001. **46**(4): p. 631-637.
16. Pfeuffer, J., et al., *Zoomed functional imaging in the human brain at 7 Tesla with simultaneous high spatial and high temporal resolution*. NeuroImage, 2002. **17**: p. 272-286.
17. Menon, R.S. and S.G. Kim, *Spatial and temporal limits in cognitive neuroimaging with fMRI*. Trends Cog Sci, 1999. **3**: p. 207-216.
18. Cheng, K., R.A. Waggoner, and K. Tanaka. *Patterns of human ocular dominance columns as revealed by high-field (4T) functional magnetic resonance imaging*. in *Soc Neurosci 29th Annual Meeting*. 1999. Miami, FL.
19. Menon, R.S., et al., *Ocular dominance in human V1 demonstrated by functional magnetic resonance imaging*. J Neurophysiol, 1997. **77**: p. 2780-7.
20. Silva, A. and A.P. Koretsky, *Laminar specificity of functional MRI onset times during somatosensory stimulation in rat*. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA, 2002. **99**: p. 15182-15187.
21. Richter, W., et al., *Sequential activity in human motor areas during a delayed cued finger movement task studied by time-resolved fMRI*. NeuroReport, 1997. **8**: p. 1257-1261.
22. Richter, W., et al., *Time-resolved fMRI of mental rotation*. NeuroReport, 1997. **8**: p. 3697-3702.
23. Hu, X., T.H. Le, and K. Ugurbil, *Evaluation of the early response in fMRI in individual subjects using short stimulus duration*. Magn Reson Med, 1997. **37**: p. 877-884.
24. Kim, D.S., T.Q. Duong, and S.G. Kim, *High-resolution mapping of iso-orientation columns by fMRI*. Nature Neuroscience, 2000. **3**: p. 164-169.

25. Menon, R.S., et al. *Cerebral oxy/deoxy hemoglobin changes during neural activation: MRI timecourse correlates to optical reflectance measurements.* in *Second Conference of Society of Magnetic Resonance*. 1994. San Francisco.
26. Yacoub, E., et al., *Further evaluation of the initial negative response in functional magnetic resonance imaging.* Magn Reson Med, 1999. **41**: p. 436-441.
27. Yacoub, E., et al., *Investigation of the initial dip at 7 Tesla.* NMR in Biomed, 2001. **14**: p. 408-412.
28. Yacoub, E., et al., *Spin echo fMRI in humans using high spatial resolutions and high magnetic fields.* Magn Reson Med, 2003. **46**: p. 655-664.
29. Duong, T.Q., et al., *High-resolution, spin-echo BOLD and CBF fMRI at 4 and 7 T.* Magn. Reson. Med., 2002. **48**(4): p. 589-593.
30. Park, J.C., et al. *Spatial specificity of high resolution gradient echo (GE) BOLD and spin-echo (SE) BOLD fMRI in cat visual cortex at 9.4T.* in *The 13th Annual Meeting of the International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*. 2005. Miami, FL.